

**HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : JABALPUR**

**// MEMO //**

No.....B/5117...../

Jabalpur, dated 29 Sept., 2018

To,  
The District and Session Judge,  
District.....(All)

**Sub:- Compliance of the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in Misc Application No. 2299/2018 in Writ Petition (Crl) No. 113/2018 (Afshan Pracha Vs Union of India and others).**


**Ref.:- This Registry's Memo No. D/4066 dated 21-06-2018.**

\*\*\*\*\*

With reference to above please find enclosed herewith a copy of the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India Passed in Misc Application No. 2199/2018 in Writ Petition (Crl) No. 113/2018 (Afshan Pracha Vs Union of India and others). As directed, you are requested to take necessary action in the matter to duly publicize the constitution of committees constituted in your District Court under the mandate of The Sexual Harassment of Women at Work Place (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act 2013 as also the guidelines contained in the Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of Vishakha and other Vs State of Rajasthan (1997) 6 SCC 241.

You are further requested to communicate the compliance report in the matter to this Registry.

Encl-As above

  
28.09.18  
(SANAT KUMAR KASHYAP)  
REGISTRAR (DE)

OUT TODAY /  
SPEED POST

Delivery Mode: Registered

D. No. 29837/2018 /SEC-X  
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
NEW DELHI  
04th September, 2018

All Communications Should be  
Addressed to Registrar by  
Designation and not by Name. Pin  
Code - 110201.

From:

The Assistant Registrar,  
Supreme Court of India, New Delhi.

To,

1 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF ALLAHABAD,  
DISTRICT- ALLAHABAD, UTTAR PRADESH

PID: 152848/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

2 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD,  
DISTRICT- HYDERABAD, ANDHRA PRADESH

PID: 152849/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

3 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF BOMBAY,  
DISTRICT- MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA

PID: 152850/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

4 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF CALCUTTA,  
DISTRICT- KOLKATA, WEST BENGAL

PID: 152851/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

5 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF DELHI,  
DISTRICT- NEW DELHI, DELHI

PID: 152852/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

6 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF GUJARAT,  
DISTRICT- AHMEDABAD, GUJARAT

PID: 152853/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

7 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF GUWAHATI,  
DISTRICT- GUWAHATI, ASSAM

PID: 152854/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

8 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,  
DISTRICT- SHIMLA, HIMACHAL PRADESH

PID: 152855/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

9 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF JAMMU & KASHMIR,  
DISTRICT- SRINAGAR, JAMMU & KASHMIR

PID: 152856/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

10 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF KARNATAKA,  
DISTRICT- BANGALORE, KARNATAKA


PID: 152857/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)

11 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT  
OF KERALA,  
DISTRICT- ERNAKULAM, KERALA

PID: 152858/2018 (SEC  
X)(MA2199/2018)



25 SEP 2018  
REGISTRAR GENERAL  
HIGH COURT OF M.P.  
JABALPUR



12

- 12 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH, DISTRICT- JABALPUR, MADHYA PRADESH PID: 152859/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 13 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF MADRAS, DISTRICT- CHENNAI, TAMIL NADU PID: 152860/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 14 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, DISTRICT- CUTTACK, ORISSA PID: 152861/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 15 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF PATNA, DISTRICT- PATNA, BIHAR PID: 152862/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 16 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, DISTRICT- PUNJAB, CHANDIGARH PID: 152863/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 17 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN, DISTRICT- JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN PID: 152864/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 18 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM, DISTRICT- GANGTOK, SIKKIM PID: 152865/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 19 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF BILASPUR, DISTRICT- BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH PID: 152866/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 20 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, DISTRICT- NAINITAL, UTTARAKHAND PID: 152867/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 21 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, DISTRICT- RANCHI, JHARKHAND PID: 152868/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 22 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR, DISTRICT- IMPHAL, MANIPUR PID: 152869/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 23 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA, DISTRICT- SHILLONG, MEGHALAYA PID: 152870/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)
- 24 THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA, DISTRICT- AGARTALA, TRIPURA PID: 152871/2018 (SEC X)(MA2199/2018)

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION No. 2199 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) NO.113 OF 2018

AFSHAN PRACHA

... Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.


... Respondent(s)

Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith a certified copy of this Hon'ble Court's Record of Proceeding dated 27th August, 2018 along with copy of this Hon'ble Court's Record of Proceeding dated 11th May, 2018, passed in the matter above mentioned, for your information, necessary action and compliance, if any.

Please acknowledge receipt.

Yours faithfully,

  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.1

Supreme Court of India SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

632883

M.A. No. 2199/2018 in Writ Petition (Crl.) No. 113/2018

AFSHAN PRACHA

Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA

Respondent

Date : 27-08-2018 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner

Ms. Tasneem Ahmadi, Adv.  
Ms. Mahima Rathi, Adv.  
Mr. Amir Naseem, Adv.  
Mr. Ali Mustaq, Adv.  
Ms. Nehmat Kaur, Adv.  
Mr. Tariq Ahmed, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Jain, AOR

For Respondent

Mr. Aman Lekhi, ASG  
Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Adv.  
Mr. Dushyant Parihar, Adv.  
Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.  
  
Mr. Sibosankar Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

We have perused the compliance report. Barring the High Court of Jammu and Kashmir, all the High Courts have complied with the directions issued on 11.5.2018.


In view of the aforesaid, we require the High Court of Jammu and Kashmir to comply with the directions of this Court within four weeks hence.

The Registry of this Court is directed to send a copy of the order dated 11.5.2018 and the order passed today to the Registrar General of the High Court of Jammu and Kashmir, so that he can place the matter before the learned Chief Justice of the High Court and the needful can be done.

A further direction is required to be issued, keeping in view that the order dated 11.5.2018 has been complied with. The Registries of all the High Courts are directed to place notices on their respective websites, so that the grieved persons can be apprised of the existence of the Committees. That apart, appropriate directions should be issued by each of the High Courts to the District Courts, so that they can do the needful in the matter, to duly publicize the constitution of the Committees.

The Registry of this Court is directed to communicate the order to the Registrars General of all the High Courts for necessary compliance.

M.A. No. 2199/2018 is accordingly disposed of.

  
(Deepak Guglani)  
Court Master

  
(H.S. Parasher)  
Assistant Registrar



ITEM NO.41

/REVISED/  
COURT NO.1

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Criminal) No(s).113/2018

AFSHAN PRACHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & GRS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 11-05-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Indira Jaising, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Mahalakshmi Pavani, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Rudro Chatterjee, Adv.  
Ms. Tasneem Ahmadi, Adv.  
Ms. Manisha Tyagi, Adv.  
Ms. Sunita Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Adv.  
Ms. Sudha Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Lily Thomas, Adv.  
Ms. Sufia Aqil, Adv.  
Mr. Digvijay Singh, Adv.  
Mr. R.H.A. Sikander, Adv.  
Ms. Deepa Srinivasan, Adv.  
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Danish, Adv.  
Mr. Mohd. Danish, Adv.  
Mr. Dilawar Abbas, Adv.  
Mr. Rehan Ahmad, Adv.  
Mr. Amir Naseem, Adv.  
Mr. A. Chaliha, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv. [AOR]

For Respondent(s) Mr. Aman Lekhi, ASG  
Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Adv.  
Mr. Rohit Bhat, Adv.  
for  
Mr. B.V. Balaramdas, Adv. [AOR]

*[Handwritten signature]*

DBA

Mr. Ramesh Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Manish Kr. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Rajiv Khosla, Adv.  
Mr. Surender Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Manan Kr. Mishra, Sr. Adv.

Delhi High Court

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv.  
Mr. A. Venkatesh, Adv.  
Mr. Sudipto Sircar, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Tulika Chikker, Adv.

Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Dheeraj Kr. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Manish Jain, Adv.

Mr. Prakash Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Mohd. Naved, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

When the petitioner, a practising lawyer, approached this Court on 09.05.2018, this Court had passed a long order and issued the following directions :

"(i) The petitioner shall be given adequate police protection by the Commissioner of Police, New Delhi so that her statement can be recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C.;

(ii) The statement under Section 164, Cr.P.C. shall be recorded by the Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Patiala House Courts, New Delhi;

(iii) The security that shall be granted to the petitioner should be the women police officers of appropriate rank;

(iv) The Bar Association of Tis Hazari Court is restrained from going on strike or participating in any kind of boycott of any Court in Tis Hazari or any other Court."

✓

We have been apprised that the statement of the petitioner under Section 164, Cr.P.C. has already been recorded by the concerned Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Patiala House Courts, New Delhi.

In the course of hearing, we have been apprised that the petitioner has lodged an FIR bearing No.124/2018 at P.S. Subzi Mandi, Delhi and a counter-FIR bearing No.123/2018 has also been lodged.

Ms. Indira Jaising, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner and the petitioner submit that the counter-FIR is a manipulated one and has been lodged by persons who have been instigated by the accused in her FIR.

On the contrary, there is a submission on behalf of the learned counsel for the respondents that the FIR is genuine.

The question whether any of the FIRs is genuine or not, cannot be decided by this Court in a petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India. It is a matter for investigation and eventual trial. We leave it at that.

In the course of further hearing, learned counsel for the parties further submitted that the cases arising out of the FIRs lodged under Section 154, Cr.P.C. should proceed. We accordingly order that the said FIRs shall be dealt with in accordance with law.

Regard being had to the facts and circumstances, it is directed as follows :

- (i) An officer of the rank of Assistant Commissioner of Police (ACP), Crime Branch, New Delhi shall investigate into both the FIRs.
- (ii) In both the FIRs, though lodged at P.S. Subzi Mandi, Delhi, if eventually chargesheets are filed and trial is held, for all purposes it shall be at Patiala House Courts, New Delhi.

*e*

(iii) The petitioner who is the accused in the counter-FIR and the accused named in the FIR lodged by the petitioner shall not be arrested.

(iv) When we say that they shall not be arrested, it is requisite that neither of the parties shall create any kind of acrimonious atmosphere or tamper with the witnesses or any evidence which is likely to be brought on record. They shall also co-operate in the investigation.

(iv) There shall be a fair trial and 'fair trial' means none of the parties or any member of the Bar shall create any obstruction because that is the sanctity of rule of law and the said position has been accepted by the counsel for the parties. Needless to say, our aforesaid observations will not debar the parties to enter into a settlement, if they so desire and they will also be at liberty to challenge any order passed by the concerned Court or even take such steps for seeking quashing of the FIRs. Be it further clarified that if any such petition is filed, the same shall be decided in accordance with law.

If the petitioner feels aggrieved with regard to any kind of threat perception, she is entitled to approach the ACP, Crime Branch who, if satisfied, shall make arrangement for her security.

Before parting with the case, we request the learned Acting Chief Justice of High Court of Delhi to constitute the requisite Committee in respect of the High Court and all District Courts at Delhi, if it has already not been constituted, as per the mandate of The Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013 as also the guidelines contained in the judgment of this

~

Court in the case of *Vishaka & Ors. v. State of Rajasthan & Ors.* (1997) 6 SCC 241.

Be it noted, the said Committee(s) shall be constituted with quite promptitude. Needless to say, no one should be a member of the Committee who is an accused in any criminal case.


As far as the question of constitution of such committee(s) in other High Courts/District Courts throughout the country is concerned, the learned Chief Justices of each of the High Courts are requested to constitute the Committees in High Courts as well as the District Courts, if not already constituted, within a span of two months.

The Registry of this Court is directed to communicate this order to the Registrars General of each of the High Courts so that they can place the same before the learned Chief Justice or Acting Chief Justice.

The Registrars General of the High Courts shall send a Compliance Report with regard to constitution of the Committee(s) in the High Courts and/or the District Courts on or before 15<sup>th</sup> July 2018. Let it be made clear, if the Compliance Report is not filed within the said time, the matter shall be listed before the Court *suo motu* so that Ms. Indira Jaising and the petitioner can address this Court on that singular aspect.

We are absolutely sure, the Bar which maintains the highest tradition, shall maintain a peaceful atmosphere not only in Delhi but throughout the country so that there is a fair and speedy trial and prevalence of peaceful atmosphere.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

  
18/05/18  
(Subhash Chander)  
AR-cum-PS

  
(H.S. Parasher)  
Assistant Registrar

  
19/5